CETIFICATION

SDG No:

FA35432

Site:

BMSMC - Building 5 Area

Humacao, PR

Laboratory:

Accutest, Florida

Matrix:

Groundwater

SUMMARY:

Samples (Table 1) were collected on the BRSMC facility – Building 5 Area. The BMSMC facility is located in Humacao, PR. Samples were taken May 09-July 14, 2016 and were analyzed in Accutest, Florida that reported the data under SDG No.: FA35432. Results were validated using the latest validation guidelines (July, 2015) of the EPA Hazardous Waste Support Section. The analyses performed are shown in Table 1. Individual data review worksheets are enclosed for each target analyte group. The data sample organic data samples summary form shows for analytes results that were qualified.

In summary the results are valid and can be used for decision taking purposes.

Table 1. Samples analyzed and analysis performed

SAMPLE ID	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	MATRIX	ANALYSIS PERFORMED
FA35432-1	OSGP7-GWS	Groundwater	VOA TCL List*
FA35432-2	OSGP3-GWD	Groundwater	VOA TCL List*
FA35432-3	OSGP3-GWS	Groundwater	VOA TCL List*
FA35432-4	OSGP9-GWD	Groundwater	VOA TCL List*
FA35432-5	OSGP9-GWS	Groundwater	VOA TCL List*
FA35432-6	OSGP10-GWD	Groundwater	VOA TCL List*
FA35432-7	BPEB-9	AQ - Equipment Blank	VOA TCL List*
FA35432-8	BPEB-10	AQ - Equipment Blank	VOA TCL List*
FA35432-9	TB071416	AQ - Trip Blank Water	VOA TCL List*

afael Infa

Méndez LIC # 1888

A 1591665

* Benzene, Methyl Tert Butyl Ether, Tert-Amyl Alcohol

Reviewer Name:

Rafael Infante

Chemist License 1888

Signature:

Date:

July 24, 2016

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: OSGP7-GWS Lab Sample ID: FA35432-1

AQ - Ground Water SW846 8260C

Date Sampled: 07/12/16 Date Received: 07/15/16

Matrix: Method: Project:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Humacao, PR

Percent Solids: n/a

	File ID	DF	Analyzed	Ву	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	N0095940.D	1	07/15/16	KM	n/a	n/a	VN4356
Run #2							

Purge Volume Run #1 5.0 ml

Run #2

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
71-43-2 1634-04-4 75-85-4	Benzene Methyl Tert Butyl Ether Tert-Amyl Alcohol	ND 0.26 ND	1.0 1.0 20	0.20 0.20 6.0	ug/l ug/l ug/l	J
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Limi	ts	
1868-53-7 17060-07-0 2037-26-5 460-00-4	Dibromofluoromethane 1,2-Dichloroethane-D4 Toluene-D8 4-Bromofluorobenzene	98% 102% 98% 103%		83-1 79-13 85-1 83-1	25% 12%	



ND = Not detected RL = Reporting Limit MDL = Method Detection Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: OSGP3-GWD Lab Sample ID: FA35432-2 Matrix:

AQ - Ground Water

SW846 8260C

Date Sampled: 07/12/16 Date Received: 07/15/16 Percent Solids: n/a

Q

J

Method: Project:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Humacao, PR

File ID DF Analyzed Ву Prep Date Prep Batch **Analytical Batch** Run #1 N0095941.D 1 07/15/16 KM VN4356 n/a n/a

Run #2

Purge Volume Run #1 5.0 ml

Run #2

CAS No.	Compound	Resuit	RL	MDL	Units
71-43-2 1634-04-4 75-85-4	Benzene Methyl Tert Butyl Ether Tert-Amyl Alcohol	ND 0.82 ND	1.0 1.0 20	0.20 0.20 6.0	ug/l ug/l ug/l
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Lim	its
1868-53-7 17060-07-0 2037-26-5 460-00-4	Dibromofluoromethane 1,2-Dichloroethane-D4 Toluene-D8 4-Bromofluorobenzene	100% 103% 99% 103%		79-1 85-1	18% 25% 12% 18%



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Method:

Project:

Run #1

460-00-4

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: OSGP3-GWS Lab Sample ID: FA35432-3 Matrix:

Purge Volume

4-Bromofluorobenzene

5.0 ml

AQ - Ground Water

SW846 8260C BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Humacao, PR Date Sampled: 07/12/16 Date Received: 07/15/16

Percent Solids: n/a

Q

J

83-118%

File ID DF Analyzed By Prep Dat Run #1 N0095942.D 1 07/15/16 KM n/a Run #2	te Prep Batch Analytical Batch n/a VN4356
--	---

Run #2 CAS No. Compound Result RL **MDL** Units 71-43-2 Benzene ND 1.0 0.20 ug/l 1634-04-4 Methyl Tert Butyl Ether 0.66 1.0 0.20ug/l 75-85-4 **Tert-Amyl Alcohol** 20 ND 6.0 ug/l CAS No. Surrogate Recoveries Run#1 Run# 2 Limits 1868-53-7 Dibromofluoromethane 101% 83-118% 1,2-Dichloroethane-D4 17060-07-0 105% 79-125% 2037-26-5 Toluene-D8 98% 85-112%

104%



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Report of Analysis

By

KM

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: OSGP9-GWD Lab Sample ID: FA35432-4 Matrix:

AQ - Ground Water SW846 8260C

DF

1

Date Sampled: 07/13/16 Date Received: 07/15/16 Percent Solids: n/a

n/a

Q

Prep Date

83-118%

n/a

Method: Project:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Humacao, PR

Analyzed

07/15/16

Prep Batch **Analytical Batch**

VN4356

Run #1 Run #2

Purge Volume Run #1 5.0 ml

File ID

N0095943.D

4-Bromofluorobenzene

Run #2

460-00-4

CAS No. Compound Result RL MDL Units 71-43-2 Benzene ND 1.0 0.20 ug/l 1634-04-4 Methyl Tert Butyl Ether ND 1.0 0.20 ug/l 75-85-4 Tert-Amyl Alcohol 20 ND 6.0 ug/l CAS No. Surrogate Recoveries Run#1 Run# 2 Limits 1868-53-7 Dibromofluoromethane 100% 83-118% 1,2-Dichloroethane-D4 17060-07-0 104% 79-125% 2037-26-5 Toluene-D8 98% 85-112%

103%



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Project:

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: OSGP9-GWS Lab Sample ID: FA35432-5

Matrix: AQ - Ground Water Method:

SW846 8260C

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Humacao, PR

Result

Date Sampled: 07/13/16 Date Received: 07/15/16

Percent Solids: n/a

	File ID	DF	Analyzed	Ву	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	N0095944.D	1	07/15/16	KM	n/a	n/a	VN4356
Run #2							

RL

MDL

Units

Q

Run #1 Run #2	5.0 ml
CAS No.	Compound
71-43-2	Ronzono

Purge Volume

71-43-2 1634-04-4 75-85-4	Benzene Methyl Tert Butyl Ether Tert-Amyl Alcohol	ND ND ND	1.0 1.0 20	0.20 ug/l 0.20 ug/l 6.0 ug/l
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
1868-53-7	Dibromofluoromethane	100%		83-118%
17060-07-0	1,2-Dichloroethane-D4	105%		79-125%
2037-26-5	Toluene-D8	97%		85-112%
460-00-4	4-Bromofluorobenzene	105%		83-118%



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Report of Analysis

By

KM

Prep Date

n/a

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: Lab Sample ID:

05GP10-GWD FA35432-6

Matrix:

AQ - Ground Water

DF

1

Date Sampled: Date Received:

07/14/16 07/15/16

Method:

SW846 8260C

Percent Solids: n/a

Project:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Humacao, PR

Analyzed

07/15/16

Prep Batch **Analytical Batch** n/a VN4356

Run #1 Run #2

> Purge Volume 5.0 ml

File ID

N0095945.D

Run #1 Run #2

CAS No.

Compound Result RL MDL Units Q

71-43-2	Benzene	ND	1.0	0.20	ug/l
1634-04-4	Methyl Tert Butyl Ether	ND	1.0	0.20	ug/l
75-85-4	Tert-Amyl Alcohol	ND	20	6.0	ug/l

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Limits
1868-53-7	Dibromofluoromethane	100%		83-118%
17060-07-0	1,2-Dichloroethane-D4	106%		79-125%
2037-26-5	Toluene-D8	99%		85-112%
460-00-4	4-Bromofluorobenzene	106%		83-118%



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: Lab Sample ID:

BPEB-9 FA35432-7

Matrix:

AQ - Equipment Blank

Date Sampled: Date Received: 07/15/16

07/13/16

Method:

SW846 8260C

Percent Solids: n/a

Project:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Humacao, PR

Prep Batch

Analytical Batch

Run #1

Run #2

DF 1

Ву Analyzed 07/15/16 KM

Run#1

107%

Prep Date n/a

n/a

Q

VN4356

Purge Volume

File ID

N0095946.D

Run #1 Run #2

CAS No.

5.0 mf

Compound	Degui

71-43-2 Benzene 1634-04-4 Methyl Tert Butyl Ether 75-85-4 Tert-Amyl Alcohol

CAS No. Surrogate Recoveries

Dibromofluoromethane 1868-53-7 17060-07-0 1,2-Dichloroethane-D4 2037-26-5 Toluene-D8

4-Bromofluorobenzene 460-00-4

Result RL MDL Units ND 1.0 0.20

ug/l ND 0.20 1.0 ug/I ND 20 6.0 ug/l

Run#2

100% 83-118% 105% 79-125% 98% 85-112%

Limits

83-118%



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

	nt Sample II	
Lab	Sample ID:	

BPEB-10 FA35432-8

Matrix:

AQ - Equipment Blank

SW846 8260C

Date Received:

Date Sampled: 07/14/16 07/15/16

Method: Project:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Humacao, PR

Percent Solids: n/a

Q

	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	N0095947.D	1	07/15/16	KM	n/a	n/a	VN4356
Run #2							

Purge Volume Run #1 5.0 ml

Run #2

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units
71-43-2 1634-04-4 75-85-4	Benzene Methyl Tert Butyl Ether Tert-Amyl Alcohol	ND ND ND	1.0 1.0 20	0.20 0.20 6.0	ug/l ug/l ug/l
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Lim	its
1868-53-7 17060-07-0 2037-26-5	Dibromofluoromethane 1,2-Dichloroethane-D4 Toluene-D8	100% 107% 100%		79-1	18% 25% 12%
460-00-4	4-Bromofluorobenzene	106%		83-1	18%



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	TB071416
Lab Sample ID:	FA35432-9

Purge Volume

Matrix: Method:

2037-26-5

460-00-4

AQ - Trip Blank Water

SW846 8260C

Date Sampled: 05/09/16 Date Received:

07/15/16 Percent Solids: n/a

Project: BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Humacao, PR

Run #1 a	File ID N0095948.D	DF 1	Analyzed 07/15/16	By KM	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch VN4356
Run #2	30		3,67		***		V 1 1 2 2 2 2 2

Run #1 Run #2	5.0 ml				:::	
CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
71-43-2	Benzene	ND	1.0	0.20	ug/l	
1634-04-4	Methyl Tert Butyl Ether	ND	1.0	0.20	ug/l	
75-85-4	Tert-Amyl Alcohol	ND	20	6.0	ug/l	
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Lim	its	
1868-53-7	Dibromofluoromethane	99%		83-1	18%	
17060-07-0	1,2-Dichloroethane-D4	107%		79-1	25%	

99%

107%

(a) Sample received outside the holding time.

4-Bromofluorobenzene

Toluene-D8



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

85-112%

83-118%

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

	ı	t	3	1
	1	Ī	7	

P	ï	Γ	ì

FL			CHAI	Road, Su 7-425-477		Irlando, F . 407-425	lavida i					1		605E		F	One Co	GE_		·
Client / Reporting Information	Far sau	O M. Carre	Project			1							Reco	unated As	alouis i s		TEST CODE sheet) SEE Matrix Codes			
organy ligns	Project Hame:	per 2A Raissass										lovo								CW - Covery We
Address	Servet			anna.	25.00	With a	200	-	100	-	APPEN N									WW - Water 3W - Series W
00 Woodshooder Avenue, Solta 417	Cay		See	and the last	Maria W Maria	m(I) all	luread (land (aport to	-		True 4					ı			SO - Set SL Sketge
	Humacee		PR									tool terri	Ш		1 1	-				SED-Sedares CI - CII
nut Corgant 5-men	Prosect F			Street A	-								Ιİ			ı				LICI - Conur Lug AIR - Air
Terry Taylor	Chart Purchase	Order #		City	_		-	-	-	-	No.	14								SCL - Other St WP - Wipe
114-251-0400		93		300					_		10	15	1 1		1 1					FB-Plain Blanc
mintel (Name). Prome F N. Rivers, R. Stuert, R. O'Reitly, Y. Yaylor	Torry Taylor			Alberto	T.							1								EB-Equipment B RB- Rivan Char TB-Trip Mark
R. HONORE, H., TREAST, H., C. PORROY, L. LAPLON	Torry Layeor		California		_		L	10.00	-		-	⊣ä			1		1			
Finish D / Point of Collection				ļ		1		a la	ğ		8 8	DALY					- [
	BECOMES VIEW of	(Inter-	Test	-	1000		빔	2 2	ă 3	5			\Box	_	+	_ _	_ _	-		LAS USE ON
OSGP 7 - GWS	-	7/12/16	-	11	GW	-	ᅰ	+	-	Н	++	X	\sqcup		+	-		1	-	
OSGP S -GWD	-	7/12/16	1915	11	GW	3	3	+	Щ.	Н		X	Ш	+				\vdash		
OSGP 3_GW S		7/12/16	1510	11	GW	1-3-	긕	+	Ц.	Н	44	X	Ш		+	+	+	\vdash	-	
1 OSGP 9 - GWD		7/19/16	1245	TT	GW		븨	1	Щ.	Н	++	X			+			1		
OSGP 9 - GWS		7/13/16	1445	41	CW	1	3	+	-	Н	++	X,	-			4	-	\vdash	+	
0 05GPIU-GWD		7/M/16	1255	71	GM	3	3	+			\pm	X				\pm		丗		
7 0000.9			1 1								\coprod					\perp			\pm	
7 BPEB-4	PERSONAL PROPERTY.		1545				3			Ц	Ш	X				_			-	
	100000	7/11/6			198	3	12	161.00	san his	25		1:	مدرة	3×1				72.	120	
74971416	- September	SAME	10930	Pint.	105	No.			414	K.	ے ل	8 · 2×			ومحددة	88 E		四百2	100 mg	
Std. 15 Restress Days	Approved By place	Prot Pile / Bute:		_		and "A" p	Lavel 1	4		I IIV	AP Car	Maria V		-	and the same of		ma t Philip	- Maria	- F-2-	
Blod. 10 Bluestenana Chryss (by Corrivant entry) 10 Day (BUSA)					FULLT1			25	_			Spery B	- 1	2						
i Day REISH		200			SULLTY (OLI Redge		41		-		o Farm I Japan			Unity repai	1 benzen	s, Micsi	:, and to	п-Алуга	iongi	
2 Day EMERGENCY 2 Day EMERGENCY					-															
1 Day emineracy						Commun	olel "8"	- Ros	a - Q	سد			ŀ		-					
Company (D. C) I A seed (position) 1/4	Se Se	impto Custody m	unt ha docum			NJ Redu	out + i	No.	+005	-	y - Pas	thi film d	-	latinary.		Į.	A CONTRACT	Shirt		CVICTA NO.
- 1-7-1 (A) PIT.	(16 1700		J # V	<u> </u>			-			7	**************************************	Committee (_	Times	100	1	_/	I WAS TO BE	931
Na AMA	III . 140	1 50	0 ->				2				<u> </u>			_		- 12	Je,	Z	二式	4157160
		3					4		-FT						Plants:	4				
Refreshed by: Date Time		Received By:					-	1	191		- 6	1000	. 1		no appropri			70	7)~	4.0

FA35432: Chain of Custody Page 1 of 3

EXECUTIVE NARRATIVE

SDG No:

FA35432

Laboratory:

Accutest, Florida

Analysis:

SW846-8260C

Number of Samples:

Location:

BMSMC - Building 5 Area

Humacao, PR

SUMMARY:

Nine (9) samples were analyzed for volatile organic compounds (VOCs) by method SW846-8260C. The sample results were assessed according to USEPA data validation guidance documents in the following order of precedence: USEPA Hazardous Waste Support Section SOP No. HW-33A Revision D SOM02.2. Low/Medium Volatile Data Validation. July, 2015. The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

Critical issues:

None

Major:

None

Minor:

None

Critical findings:

None

Major findings:

None

Minor findings:

- 1. All samples analyzed within method recommended holding time except the cases described in the Data Review Worksheet. Sample FA35432-9 was a trip blank received and analyzed outside holding time. No action taken, the sample is a trip blank. Samples properly preserved.
- 2. MS/MSD % recoveries and RPD within laboratory control limits except for the cases described in the Data Review Worksheet. Target analytes' RPD were outside the laboratory control limits but within generally acceptable and validation guidance document performance criteria. No action taken, professional judgment.

COMMENTS:

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Reviewers Name:

Rafael Infante

Chemist License 1888

al what

Signature:

Date:

July 24, 2016

SAMPLE ORGANIC DATA SAMPLE SUMMARY

Sample ID: FA35432-1

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 7/12/2016 Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8260C

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Benzene	1.0	ug/l	1.0	_	U	Yes
Methyl Tert Butyl Ether	0.26	ug/l	1.0	J	J	Yes
Tert-Amyl Alcohol	20	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: FA35432-2

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 7/12/2016 Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8260C

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Benzene	1.0	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Methyl Tert Butyl Ether	0.82	ug/l	1.0	J	J	Yes
Tert-Amyl Alcohol	20	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: FA35432-3

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 7/12/2016

Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8260C

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Benzene	1.0	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Methyl Tert Butyl Ether	0.66	ug/l	1.0	1	J	Yes
Tert-Amyl Alcohoi	20	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: FA35432-4

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 7/13/2016

Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8260C

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Benzene	1.0	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Methyl Tert Butyl Ether	1.0	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Tert-Amyl Alcohol	20	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: FA35432-5

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 7/13/2016

Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8260C

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Benzene	1.0	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Methyi Tert Butyl Ether	1.0	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Tert-Amyl Alcohol	20	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: FA35432-6

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 7/14/2016 Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8260C

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Benzene	1.0	ug/l	1.0	•	υ	Yes
Methyl Tert Butyl Ether	1.0	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Tert-Amyl Alcohol	20	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: FA35432-7

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 7/13/2016

Matrix: AQ - Equipment Blank

METHOD: 8260C

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Benzene	1.0	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Methyl Tert Butyl Ether	1.0	ug/l	1.0	-	บ	Yes
Tert-Amyl Alcohol	20	ug/l	1.0		υ	Yes

Sample ID: FA35432-8

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 7/14/2016

Matrix: AQ - Equipment Blank

METHOD: 8260C

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Benzene	1.0	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Methyl Tert Butyl Ether	1.0	ug/l	1.0	•	U	Yes
Tert-Amvl Alcohol	20	นย/ไ	1.0	-	IJ	Yes

Sample ID: FA35432-9

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 5/9/2016 Matrix: AQ - Trip Blank

METHOD: 8260C

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Benzene	1.0	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Methyl Tert Butyl Ether	1.0	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Tert-Amyl Alcohol	20	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes

Project Number:_FA35432
Date:May_5July_14,_2016
Shipping date:July_14,_2016
EPA Region: 2
_

REVIEW OF VOLATILE ORGANIC PACKAGE Low/Medium Volatile Data Validation

The following guidelines for evaluating volatile organics were created to delineate required validation actions. This document will assist the reviewer in using professional judgment to make more informed decision and in better serving the needs of the data users. The sample results were assessed according to USEPA data validation guidance documents in the following order of precedence: USEPA Hazardous Waste Support Section SOP No. HW-33A Revision 0 SOM02.2. Low/Medium Volatile Data Validation. July, 2015. The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

listed on the data review worksheets are from the prin noted.	nary guidance document, unless otherwise
The hardcopied (laboratory name)Accutest been reviewed and the quality control and performance dincluded:	data package received has lata summarized. The data review for VOCs
Lab. Project/SDG No.:FA35432 No. of Samples:9 Trip blank No.:FA35432-9 Field blank No.:FA35432-7;_FA35432-8_ Field duplicate No.:	
X Data CompletenessX Holding TimesX GC/MS TuningX Internal Standard PerformanceX BlanksX Surrogate RecoveriesX Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate _OverallComments:VOA_TCL_list_(SW846_8260C)Sample_FA35432-8_(trip_blank)_is_dated_06/27/16	X Laboratory Control SpikesX Field DuplicatesX CalibrationsX Compound IdentificationsX Compound QuantitationX Quantitation Limits
Definition of Qualifiers: J- Estimated results U- Compound not detected R- Rejected thata UJ- Estimated nor detect Reviewer: Aau Mauf Date:July_24,_2016	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

DATA COMPLETENESS

MISSING INFORMATION	DATE LAB. CONTACTED	DATE RECEIVED
	- 1	
25		
		>

All criteria were met _	Х_
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	

HOLDING TIMES

The objective of this parameter is to ascertain the validity of the results based on the holding time of the sample from time of collection to the time of analysis.

Complete table for all samples and note the analysis and/or preservation not within criteria

SAMPLE ID	DATE SAMPLED	DATE ANALYZED	pН	ACTION			
FA35432-8	05/09/16	07/15/16	2	No action			
A.00 1 1		1 14 17 4					
				the cases described in this			
document. Sample FA35432-9 was a trip blank received and analyzed outside holding time. No							
				d cabiac noising and. No			
		Samples properly pres		a cable holding time. No			
				a datate notating little. No			
				la datate notating time. No			
				I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I			

<u>Criteria</u>

Aqueous samples – 14 days from sample collection for preserved samples (pH \leq 2, 4 \pm 2°C), no air bubbles.

Aqueous samples – 7 days from sample collection for unpreserved samples, 4°C, no air bubbles.

Soil samples- 14 days from sample collection.

Cooler temperature (Criteria: 4 + 2 °C): 4° C - OK

Actions

Aqueous samples

- a. If there is no evidence that the samples were properly preserved (pH < 2, $T = 4^{\circ}C \pm 2^{\circ}C$), but the samples were analyzed within the technical holding time [7 days from sample collection], no qualification of the data is necessary.
- b. If there is no evidence that the samples were properly preserved, and the samples were analyzed outside of the technical holding time [7 days from sample collection], qualify detects for all volatile compounds as estimated (J) and non-detects as unusable (R).
- c. If the samples were property preserved, and the samples were analyzed within the technical holding time [14 days from sample collection], no qualification of the data is necessary.
- d. If the samples were properly preserved, but were analyzed outside of the technical holding time [14 days from sample collection], qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as unusable (R).
- e. If air bubbles were present in the sample vial used for analysis, qualify detected compounds as estimated (UJ).

Non-aqueous samples

- a. If there is no evidence that the samples were properly preserved ($T < -7^{\circ}C$ or $T = 4^{\circ}C \pm 2^{\circ}C$ and preserved with NaHSO₄), but the samples were analyzed within the technical holding time [14 days from sample collection], qualify detects for all volatile compounds as estimated (J) and non-detects as (UJ) or unusable (R) using professional judgment.
- b. If the samples were properly preserved, and the samples were analyzed within the technical holding time [14 days from sample collection], no qualification of the data is necessary.
- c. If there is no evidence that the samples were properly preserved, and the samples were analyzed outside of the technical holding time [14 days from sample collection], qualify detects for all volatile compounds as estimated (J) and non-detects as unusable (R).
- d. If the samples were properly preserved, but were analyzed outside of the technical holding time [14 days from sample collection], qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as unusable (R).

Qualify TCLP/SPLP samples

- a. If the TCLP/SPLP ZHE procedure is performed within the extraction technical holding time of 14 days, detects and non-detects should not be qualified.
- b. If the TCLP/SPLP ZHE procedure is performed outside the extraction technical holding time of 14 days, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as unusable (R).
- c. If TCLP/SPLP aqueous samples and TCLP/SPLP leachate samples are analyzed within the technical holding time of 7 days, detects and non-detects should not be qualified.
- d. If TCLP/SPLP aqueous samples and TCLP/SPLP leachate samples are analyzed outside of the technical holding time of 7 days, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as unusable (R).

Table 1. Holding Time Actions for Low/Medium Volatile Analyses - Summary

	"			Action	
Matrix	Preserved	Criteria	Detected Associated Compounds	Non-Detected Associated Compounds	
	No	≤ 7 days	No q	ualification	
Aguagua	No	> 7 days	J	R	
Aqueous	Aqueous Yes ≤ 1		No qualification		
	Yes	> 14 days	J	R	
Nian Amazon	No	≤ 14 days	J	Professional judgment, UJ or R	
Non-Aqueous	Yes	≤ 14 days	No q	ualification	
	Yes/No	> 14 days	J	R	
TCLP/SPLP	Yes	≤ 14 days	No qualification		
TCLP/SPLP	No	> 14 days	J	R	

TCLP/SPLP	ZHE performed within the 14-day technical holding time	No qu	alification	
TCLP/SPLP	ZHE performed outside the 14-day technical holding time	J	R	
TCLP/SPLP aqueous & TCLP/SPLP leachate	Analyzed within 7 days	No qualification		
TCLP/SPLP aqueous & TCLP/SPLP leachate	Analyzed outside 7 days	J	R	
Sample temperature outside 4°C ± 2°C upon receipt at the laboratory		Use profess	ional judgment	
Holding times g	rossly exceeded	J	R	

All	criteria were met	X
Criteria were	not met see below	

GC/MS TUNING

The assessment of the tuning results is to determine if the sample instrumentation is within the standard tuning QC limits

__X___The BFB performance results were reviewed and found to be within the specified criteria.
__X___BFB tuning was performed for every 12 hours of sample analysis.

NOTES: All mass spectrometer instrument conditions must be identical to those used during the sample analysis. Background subtraction actions resulting in spectral distortions for the sole purpose of meeting the method specifications are contrary to the Quality Assurance (QA) objectives, and are therefore unacceptable.

NOTES: No data should be qualified based on BFB failure. Instances of this should be noted in the narrative.

All ion abundance ratios must be normalized to m/z 95, the nominal base peak, even though the ion abundance of m/z 174 may be up to 120% that of m/z 95.

Actions:

If samples are analyzed without a preceding valid instrument performance check, qualify all data in those samples as unusable (R).

If ion abundance criteria are not met, professional judgment may be applied to determine to what extent the data may be utilized. When applying professional judgment to this topic, the most important factors to consider are the empirical results that are relatively insensitive to location on the chromatographic profile and the type of instrumentation. Therefore, the critical ion abundance criteria for BFB are the m/z 95/96, 174/175, 174/176, and 176/177 ratios. The relative abundances of m/z 50 and 75 are of lower importance. This issue is more critical for Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs) than for target analytes.

Note: State in the Data Review Narrative, decisions to use analytical data associated with BFB instrument performance checks not meeting contract requirements.

Note: Verify that that instrument instrument performance check criteria were achieved using techniques described in Low/Medium Volatiles Organic Analysis, Section II.D.5 of the SOM02.2 NFG, obtain additional information on the instrument performance checks. Make sure that background subtraction was performed from the BFB peak and not from background subtracting from the solvent front or from another region of the chromatogram.

Use professional judgment to determine whether associated data should be qualified based on the spectrum of the mass calibration compound.					
List	the	samples	affected:		

If mass calibration is in error, all associated data are rejected.

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

CALIBRATION VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

Date of initial calibration:07/0	06/16
Dates of continuing (initial) calibrati	ion:07/06/16
Dates of continuing calibration:	0712516
Dates of ending calibration:	07/06/16;_07/15/16
Instrument ID numbers:	GCMSN
Matrix/Level:	

DATE	LAB ID#	FILE	CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, %D, r	COMPOUND	SAMPLES AFFECTED

Note: Initial calibration, initial calibration verification, and continuing calibration verification within the method and validation guidance document required performance criteria. Closing calibration check verification included in data package.

Criteria

The analyte calibration criteria in the following Table must be obtained. Analytes not meeting the criteria are qualified.

A separate worksheet should be filled for each initial curve

Initial Calibration - Table 2. RRF, %RSD, and %D Acceptance Criteria for Initial Calibration and CCV for Low/Medium Volatile Analysis

Analyte	Minimum	Maximum	Opening	Closing
Dichlorodifluoromethane	RRF	%RSD	Maximum %D1	Maximum %D
Chloromethane	0.010	25.0	±40.0	±50.0
	0.010	20.0	±30.0	±50.0
Vinyl chloride	0.010	20.0	±25.0	±50.0
Bromomethane	0.010	40.0	±30.0	±50.0
Chloroethane	0.010	40.0	±25.0	±50.0
Trichlorofluoromethane	0.010	40.0	±30.0	±50.0
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.060	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane	0.050	25.0	±25.0	±50.0
Acetone	0.010	40.0	±40.0	±50.0
Carbon disulfide	0.100	20.0	±25.0	±25.0
Methyl acetate	0.010	40.0	±40.0	±50.0
Methylene chloride	0.010	40.0	±30.0	±50.0
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.100	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Methyl tert-butyl ether	0.100	40.0	±25.0	±50.0
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.300	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
2-Butanone	0.010	40.0	±40.0	±50.0
Bromochloromethane	0.100	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Chloroform	0.300	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.050	20.0	±25.0	±25.0
Cyclohexane	0.010	40.0	±25.0	±50.0
Carbon tetrachloride	0.100	20.0	±25.0	±25.0
Benzene	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.070	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Trichloroethene	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Methylcyclohexane	0.050	40.0	±25.0	±50.0
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Bromodichloromethane	0.300	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.300	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	0.030	25.0	±30.0	±50.0
Toluene	0.300	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
trans-1.3-Dichloropropene	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Tetrachloroethene	0.100	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
2-Неханопе	0.010	40.0	±40.0	±50.0
Dibromochloromethane	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
1,2-Dibromoethane	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Chlorobenzene	0.400	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Ethylbenzene	0.400	20.0	±20.0	±25.0

Analyte	Minimum RRF	Maximum %RSD	Opening Maximum %D ¹	Closing Maximum
ni.p-Xylene	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
o-Xylene	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Styrene	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Bromeform	0.100	20.0	±25.0	±50.0
Isopropylbenzene	0.400	20.0	±25.0	±25.0
1.1.2.2-Tetrachloroethane	0.200	20.0	±25.0	±25.0
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.500	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
1.4-Dichlorobenzene	0.600	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.600	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
1.2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	0.010	25.0	±30.0	±50.0
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0.400	20.0	±30.0	±50.0
1.2.3-Trichlorobenzene	0.400	25.0	±30.0	±50.0
Deuterated Monitoring Compound				
Vinyl chloride-d3	0.010	20.0	±30.0	±50.0
Chloroethane-ds	0.010	40.0	±30.0	±50.0
1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	0.050	20.0	±25.0	±25.0
2-Butanone-ds	0.010	40.0	±40.0	±50.0
Chloroform-d	0.300	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
1.2-Dichloroethane-da	0.060	20.0	±25.0	±25.0
Benzene-de	0.300	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
1,2-Dichloropropane-d₀	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Toluene-ds	0.300	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene-da	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
2-Hexanone-ds	0.010	40.0	±40.0	±50.0
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane-da	0.200	20.0	±25.0	±25.0
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4	0,400	20.0	±20.0	±25.0

If a closing CCV is acting as an opening CCV, all target analytes and DMCs must meet the requirements for an opening CCV.

Actions:

- 1. If any volatile target compound has an RRF value less than the minimum in the table, use professional judgment for detects, based on mass spectral identification, to qualify the data as estimated (J+ or R).
 - a. If any volatile target compound has an RRF value less than the minimum criterion, qualify non-detected compounds as unusable (R).
 - b. If any of the volatile target compounds listed in the Table has %RSD greater than the criteria, qualify detects as estimated (J), and non-detected compounds using professional judgment.
 - c. If the volatile target compounds meet the acceptance criteria for RRF and the %RSD, no qualification of the data is necessary.

- d. No qualification of the data is necessary on the DMC RRF and %RSD data alone. Use professional judgment and follow the guidelines in Action 2 to evaluate the DMC RRF and %RSD data in conjunction with the DMC recoveries to determine the need for qualification of data.
- 2. At the reviewer's discretion, and based on the project-specific Data Quality Objectives (DQOs), a more in-depth review may be considered using the following guidelines:
 - a. If any volatile target compound has a %RSD greater than the maximum criterion in the Table, and if eliminating either the high or the low-point of the curve does not restore the %RSD to less than or equal to the required maximum:
 - i. Qualify detects for that compound(s) as estimated (J).
 - ii. Qualify non-detected volatile target compounds using professional judgment.
 - b. If the high-point of the curve is outside of the linearity criteria (e.g., due to saturation):
 - i. Qualify detects outside of the linear portion of the curve as estimated (J).
 - ii. No qualifiers are required for detects in the linear portion of the curve.
 - iii. No qualifiers are required for volatile target compounds that were not detected.
 - c. If the low-point of the curve is outside of the linearity criteria:
 - Qualify low-level detects in the area of non-linearity as estimated (J).
 - ii. No qualifiers are required for detects in the linear portion of the curve.
 - iii. For non-detected volatile compounds, use the lowest point of the linear portion of the curve to determine the new quantitation limit.

Note: If the laboratory has failed to provide adequate calibration information, inform the Region's designated representative to contact the laboratory and request the necessary information. If the information is not available, the reviewer must use professional judgment to assess the data.

State in the Data Review Narrative, if possible, the potential effects on the data due to calibration criteria exceedance.

Note, for the Laboratory COR action, if calibration criteria are grossly exceeded.

Table. Initial Calibration Actions for Low/Medium Volatile Analysis – Summary

Criteria	Action		
Criteria	Detect	Non-detect Use professional judgment R	
Initial Calibration not performed at specified frequency and sequence	Use professional judgment R		
Initial Calibration not performed at the specified concentrations	J	UJ	
RRF - Minimum RRF in Table for target analyte	Use professional judgment J+ or R	R	
RRF > Minimum RRF in Table for target analyte	No qualification	No qualification	
*•RSD > Maximum *•RSD in Table for target analyte	J	Use professional judgment	
*•RSD ⊆ Maximum *•RSD in Table for target analyte	No qualification	No qualification	

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV)

NOTE: Verify that the CCV was run at the required frequency (an opening and closing CCV must be run within 12-hour period) and the CCV was compared to the correct initial calibration. If the mid-point standard from the initial calibration is used as an opening CCV, verify that the result (RRF) of the mid-point standard was compared to the average RRF from the correct initial calibration.

The closing CCV used to bracket the end of a 12-hour analytical sequence may be used as the opening CCV for the new 12-hour analytical sequence, provided that all the technical acceptance criteria are met for an opening CCV (see criteria show before in the Table). If the closing CCV does not meet the technical acceptance criteria for an opening CCV, then a BFB tune followed by an opening CCV is required and the next 12-hour time period begins with the BFB tune.

All DMCs must meet RRF criteria. No qualification of the data is necessary on the DMCs RRF and %RSD/%D data alone. However, use professional judgment to evaluate the DMC and %RSD/%D data in conjunction with the DMC recoveries to determine the need of qualification the data.

Action:

- 1. If a CCV (opening and closing) was not run at the appropriate frequency, qualify data using professional judgment.
- 2. Qualify all volatile target compounds in Table shown before using the following criteria:
 - a. For an opening CCV, if any volatile target compound has an RRF value less than the minimum criterion, use professional judgment for detects, based on mass spectral identification, to qualify the data as estimated (J) and qualify non-detected compounds as unusable (R).
 - b. For a closing CCV, if any volatile target compound has an RRF value less than the criteria, use professional judgment for detects based on mass spectral identification to qualify the data as estimated (J), and qualify non-detected compounds as unusable (R).
 - c. For an opening CCV, if the Percent Difference value for any of the volatile target compounds is outside the limits in calibration criteria Table shown before, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detected compounds as estimated (UJ).
 - d. For a closing CCV, if the Percent Difference value for any volatile target compound is outside the limits in calibration criteria table, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detected compounds as estimated (UJ).
 - e. If the volatile target compounds meet the acceptable criteria for RRF and the Percent Difference, no qualification of the data is necessary.

f. No qualification of the data is necessary on the DMC RRF and the Percent Difference data alone. Use professional judgment to evaluate the DMC RRF and Percent Difference data in conjunction with the DMC recoveries to determine the need for qualification of data.

Notes: If the laboratory has failed to provide adequate calibration information, inform the Region's designated representative to contact the laboratory and request the necessary information. If the information is not available, the reviewer must use professional judgment to assess the data.

State in the Data Review Narrative, if possible, the potential effects on the data due to calibration criteria exceedance.

Note, for Contract Laboratory COR action, if calibration criteria are grossly exceeded.

Table. Continuing Calibration Actions for Low/Medium Volatile Analysis – Summary

Criteria for Opening Criteria for		Action		
CCV	Closing CCV	Detect	Non-detect	
CCV not performed at required frequency	CCV not performed at required frequency	Use professional judgment R	Use professional judgment R	
CCV not performed at specified concentration	CCV not performed at specified concentration	Use professional judgment	Use professional judgment	
RRF < Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	RRF < Minimum RRF in Table for target analyte	Use professional judgment J or R	R	
RRF ≥ Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	RRF ≥ Minimum RRF in Table for target analyte	No qualification	No qualification	
Opening Maximum In Table 2 for target analyte	%D outside the Closing Maximum %D limits in Table for target analyte	1	UJ	
%D within the inclusive Opening Maximum %D limits in Table 2 for target analyte	%D within the inclusive Closing Maximum %D limits in Table for target analyte	No qualification	No qualification	

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Sections 1 & 2)

The assessment of the blank analysis results is to determine the existence and magnitude of contamination problems. The criteria for evaluation of blanks apply only to blanks associated with the samples, including trip, equipment, and laboratory blanks. If problems with any blanks exist, all data associated with the case must be carefully evaluated to determine whether or not there is an inherent variability in the data for the case, or if the problem is an isolated occurrence not affecting other data.

List the contamination in the blanks below. High and low levels blanks must be treated separately.

The concentration of a target analyte in any blank must not exceed its Contract Required Quantitation Limit (CRQL) (2x CRQLs for Methylene chloride, Acetone, and 2-Butanone). TIC concentration in any blanks must be $\leq 5.0 \, \mu \text{g/L}$ for water (0.0050 mg/L for TCLP leachate) and $\leq 5.0 \, \mu \text{g/kg}$ for soil matrices.

Laboratory blanks

The method blank, like any other sample in the SDG, must meet the technical acceptance criteria for sample analysis.

LAB ID	LEVEL/ MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
•			
nt/Trip blank			
	nt, the data revi	ewer should evaluate th	is data in a similar fashion as
LAB ID	LEVEL! Matrix	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
•			
	ht/Trip blank anks are preser ks. LAB ID	Interpretation of the data reviews. LAB ID LEVEL/ MATRIX Interpretation of the data reviews. LAB ID LEVEL/ MATRIX Interpretation of the data reviews.	MATRIX lyte_detected_in_method_blanks nt/Trip blank anks are present, the data reviewer should evaluate the last. LAB ID LEVEL! COMPOUND

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Section 3)

Blank Actions

Note:

All fields blank results associated with a particular group of samples (may exceed one per case) must be used to qualify data. Trip blanks are used to qualify only those samples with which they were shipped. Blanks may not be qualified because of contamination in another blank. Field blanks and trip blanks must be qualified for system monitoring compounds, instrument performance criteria, and spectral or calibration QC problems.

Samples taken from a drinking water tap do not have associated field blanks.

When applied as described in the Table below, the contaminant concentration in the blank is multiplied by the sample dilution factor.

Table. Blank and TCLP/SPLP LEB Actions for Low/Medium Volatile Analysis

Blank Type	Blank Result	Sample Result Action for Samples	
	Detects	Not detected	No qualification required
	< CRQL *	< CRQL*	Report CRQL value with a U
	CKQL	≥ CRQL*	No qualification required
Method,		< CRQL*	Report CRQL value with a U
Storage, Field.	> CRQL *	≥ CRQL* and ≤	Report blank value for sample
Trip,		blank concentration	concentration with a U
TCLP/SPLP		≥ CRQL* and >	No qualification required
LEB,		blank concentration	140 dranneadon tedented
Instrument**	= CRQL*	≤ CRQL*	Report CRQL value with a U
	- CRQL	> CRQL*	No qualification required
	Gross	Detects	Report blank value for sample
	contamination	Detects	concentration with a U

^{* 2}x the CRQL for methylene chloride, 2-butanone and acetone.

Action Levels (ALs) should be based upon the highest concentration of contaminant determined in any blank. Do not qualify any blank with another blank. The ALs for samples which have been diluted should be corrected for the sample dilution factor and/or % moisture, where applicable. No positive sample results should be reported unless the concentration of the compound in the samples exceeds the ALs:

^{**} Qualifications based on instrument blank results affect only the sample analyzed immediately after the sample that has target compounds that exceed the calibration range or non-target compounds that exceed 100 µg/L.

Notes:

High and low level blanks must be treated separately Compounds qualified "U" for blank contamination are still considered "hits" when qualifying for calibration criteria.

COMPOUND	CONC/UNITS	AL/UNITS	SQL	AFFECTED SAMPLES		
		1	i			
				- 12		
			-60			
			1			
			<u> </u>			
		i				
	COMPOUND	COMPOUND CONC/UNITS	COMPOUND CONC/UNITS AL/UNITS	COMPOUND CONC/UNITS AL/UNITS SQL		

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

DEUTERATED MONITORING COMPOUNDS (DMCs)

Laboratory performance of individual samples is established by evaluation of surrogate spike (DMCs) recoveries. All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample analysis. The accuracy of the analysis is measured by the surrogate percent recovery. Since the effects of the sample matrix are frequently outside the control of the laboratory and may present relatively unique problems, the validation of data is frequently subjective and demands analytical experience and professional judgment.

Table. Volatile Deuterated Monitoring Compounds (DMCs) and Recovery Limits

DMC	%R for Water Sample	%R for Soil Sample
Vinyl chloride-d3	60-135	30-150
Chloroethane-d5	70-130	30-150
1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	60-125	45-110
2-Butanone-d5	40-130	20-135
Chloroform-d	70-125	40-150
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	70-125	70-130
Benzene-d6	70-125	20-135
1,2-Dichloropropane-d6	70-120	70-120
Toluene-d8	80-120	30-130
trans-1,3-	60-125	30-135
Dichloropropene-d4		
2-Hexanone-d5	45-130	20-135
1,1,2,2-	65-120	45-120
Tetrachloroethane-d2		
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4	80-120	75-120

NOTE: The recovery limits for any of the compounds listed in the above Table may be expanded at any time during the period of performance if the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) determines that the limits are too restrictive.

Action:

Are recoveries for DMCs in volatile samples and blanks must be within the limits specified in the Table above.

Yes? or No?

NOTE: The recovery limits for any of the compounds listed in the Table above may be expanded at any time during the period of performance if USEPA determines that the limits are too restrictive.

List the DMCs that may fail to meet the recovery limits

Sample ID	Date	DMCs	% Recovery	Action	
			<u></u>		
V 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17				- 30010	1,531

DMCs recoveries within the required limits and within the guidance document performance criteria (80 - 120). Other non-deuterated surrogates added to the samples within laboratory control limits.

Note: Any sample which has more than 3 DMCs outside the limits must be reanalyzed.

Action:

- 1. For any recovery greater than the upper acceptance limit.
 - a. Qualify detected associated volatile target compounds as estimated high (J+).
 - b. Do not qualify non-detected associated volatile target compounds.
- 2. For any recovery greater than or equal to 10%, and less than the lower acceptance limit:
 - a. Qualify detected associated volatile target compounds as estimated low (J-).
 - b. Qualify non-detected associated volatile target compounds as estimated (UJ).
- 3. For any recovery less than 10%:
 - a. Qualify detected associated volatile target compounds as estimated low (J-).
 - b. Qualify non-detected associated volatile target compounds as unusable (R).
- 4. For any recovery within acceptance limits, no qualification of the data is necessary.
- 5. In the special case of a blank analysis having DMCs out of specification, the reviewer must give special consideration to the validity of associated sample data. The basic concern is whether the blank problems represent an isolated problem with the blank alone, or whether there is a fundamental problem with the analytical process. For example, if one or more samples in the batch show acceptable DMC recoveries, the reviewer may choose to consider the blank problem to be an isolated occurrence. However, even if this judgment allows some use of the affected data, note analytical problems for Contract Laboratory COR action.
- 6. If more than three DMCs are outside of the recovery limits for Low/Medium volatiles analysis and the sample was not reanalyzed, note under Contract Problems/Non-Compliance.

Table. Deuterated Monitoring Compound (DMC) Recovery Actions for Low/Medium Volatiles Analyses – Summary

	Action					
Criteria	Detect Associated Compounds	Non-detected Associated Compounds				
%R < 10%	J-	R				
10% ≤ %R < Lower Acceptance Limit	J-	UJ				
Lower Acceptance Limit $\leq 96R \leq Upper$ Acceptance Limit	No qualification	No qualification				
%R > Upper Acceptance Limit	J+	No qualification				

TABLE. VOLATILE DEUTERATED MONITORING COMPOUNDS (DMCs) AND THE ASSOCIATED TARGET COMPOUNDS

Vinyl chloride-ds (DMC-1)	Chloroethane-ds (DMC-2)	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2 (DMC-3)
Vinyl chloride	Dichlorodifluoromethane	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene
	Chloromethane	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene
	Bromomethane	1.1-Dichloroethene
	Chloroethane	
	Carbon disulfide	
2-Butanone-ds (DMC-4)	Chloroform-d (DMC-5)	1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (DMC-6)
Acetone	1.1-Dichloroethane	Trichlorofluoromethane
2-Butanone	Bromochloromethane	1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane
	Chloroform	Methyl acetate
	Dibromochloromethane	Methylene chloride
	Bromoform	Methyl-tert-butyl ether
		1,1,1-Trichloroethane
		Carbon tetrachloride
		1.2-Dibromoethane
		1.2-Dichloroethane
Benzene-ds (DMC-7)	1,2-Dichloropropane-ds (DMC-8)	Toluene-ds (DMC-9)
Benzene	Cyclohexane	Trichloroethene
	Methylcyclohexane	Toluene
	1.2-Dichloropropane	Tetrachloroethene
	Bromodichloromethane	Ethylbenzene
		o-Xylene
		m.p-Xylene
	ž.	Styrene
		Isopropylbenzene
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene-da (DMC-10)	2-Hexanone-ds (DMC-11)	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane-d2 (DMC-12)
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	1.1,2,2,-Tetrachloroethane
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	2-Hexanone	1.2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane
1,1,2-Trichloroethane		
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4		
(DMC-13)		
Chlorobenzene	1	İ
1,3-Dichlorobenzene		
1,4-Dichlorobenzene		
1,2-Dichlorobenzene		ĺ
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene		
1.2.3-Trichlorobenzene		

All criteria were melX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE (MS/MSD)

This data is generated to determine long term precision and accuracy in the analytical method for various matrices. This data alone cannot be used to evaluate the precision and accuracy of individual samples. If any % R in the MS or MSD falls outside the designated range, the reviewer should determine if there are matrix effects, i.e. LCS data are within the QC limits but MS/MSD data are outside QC limit.

NOTES:

Data for MS and MSDs will not be present unless requested by the Region. Notify the Contract Laboratory COR if a field or trip blank was used for the MS and MSD.

For a Matrix Spike that does not meet criteria, apply the action to only the field sample used to prepare the Matrix Spike sample. If it is clearly stated in the data validation materials that the samples were taken through incremental sampling or some other method guaranteeing the hornogeneity of the sample group, then the entire sample group may be qualified.

1. MS/MSD Recoveries and Precision Criteria

The laboratory should use one MS and a duplicate analysis of an unspiked field sample if target analytes are expected in the sample. If target analytes are not expected, MS/MSD should be analyzed.

List the %Rs, RPD of the compounds which do not meet the criteria.

Sample ID:_FA35432-1MS/1MSD	Matrix/Level:	Aqueous

Method: SW846 8260C The QC reported here applies to the following samples: FA35432-1, FA35432-2, FA35432-3, FA35432-4, FA35432-5; FA35432-6, FA35432-7, FA35432-8, FA35432-9

Compound	FA3543 ug/l	2-1 Q	Spike ug/l	MS ug/i	MS %	Spike ug/l	MSD ug/l	MSD %	RPD	Limits Rec/RPD
Benzene	ND	J	25	26.4	106	25	31.5	126*	18*	81-122/14
Methyl Tert Butyl Ether	0.26		25	22.7	90	25	27.4	109	19*	72-117/14
Tert-Amyl Alcohol	ND		250	167	67	250	215	86	25*	65-124/23

Note: MS/MSD % recoveries and RPD within laboratory control limits except for the cases described in this document. RPD was outside the laboratory control limits but within generally acceptable and validation guidance document performance criteria. No action taken, professional judgment

Note:

- * QC limits are laboratory in-house performance criteria, LL = lower limit, UL = upper limit.
- * If QC limits are not available, use limits of 70 130 %.

Actions:

 No qualification of the data is necessary on MS and MSD data alone. However, using professional judgment, the validator may use the MS and MSD results in conjunction with other QC criteria and determine the need for some qualification of the data.

QUALITY	%R < LL	%R > UL
Positive results	J	J
Nondetects results	R	Accept

MS/MSD criteria apply only to the unspiked sample, its dilutions, and the associated MS/MSD samples:

If the % R for the affected compounds were < LL (or 70 %), qualify positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

If the % R for the affected compounds were > UL (or 130 %), only qualify positive results (J).

if 25 % or more of all MS/MSD %R were < LL (or 70 %) or if two or more MS/MSD %Rs were < 10%, qualify all positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

A separate worksheet should be used for each MS/MSD pair.

All criteria were me	etX
Criteria were not m	et
and/or see below _	

LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE (LCS) ANALYSIS

This data is generated to determine accuracy of the analytical method for various matrices.

1. LCS Recoveries Criteria

Where LCS spiked with the same analyte at the same concentrations as the MS/MSD? **Yes** or No. If no make note in data review memo.

List the %R of compounds which do not meet the criteria

	LCS ID	COMPOUND	% R	QC LIMIT
Recoveries	s(blank_spike)_	within_laboratory_control_lin	nits	. <u>. </u>
		22-11-64-337		
92010		***		

Note:

- * QC limits are laboratory in-house performance criteria, LL = lower limit, UL = upper limit.
- * If QC limits are not available, use limits of 70 130 %.

Actions:

QUALITY	%R < LL	%R > UL
Positive results	J	J
Nondetects results	R	Accept

All analytes in the associated sample results are qualified for the following criteria.

If 25 % of the LCS recoveries were < LL (or 70 %), qualify all positive results (j) and reject nondetects (R).

If two or more LCS were below 10 %, qualify all positive results as (J) and reject nondetects (R).

2. Frequency Criteria:

Where LCS analyzed at the required frequency and for each matrix? Yes or No.

If no, the data may be affected. Use professional judgment to determine the severity of the effect and qualify data accordingly. Discuss any actions below and list the samples affected.

		All criteria were met Criteria were not met and/or see belowN/A
IX.	FIELD/LABORATORY DUPLICATE PRECISION	
	Sample IDs:	Matrix:

Field/laboratory duplicates samples may be taken and analyzed as an indication of overall precision. These analyses measure both field and lab precision; therefore, the results may have more variability than laboratory duplicates which only laboratory performance. It is also expected that soil duplicate results will have a greater variance than water matrices due to difficulties associated with collecting identical field duplicate samples.

The project QAPP should be reviewed for project-specific information.

NOTE: In the absence of QAPP guidance for validating data from field duplicates, the following action will be taken.

Identify which samples within the data package are field duplicates. Estimate the relative percent difference (RPD) between the values for each compound. Use professional judgment to note large RPDs (> 50%) in the narrative.

SQL	SAMPLE CONC.	DUPLICATE CONC.	RPD_	ACTION
			-	
No field/laboratory duplicate analyzed with this data package. MS/MSD % recoveries RPD used to assess precision. RPD within required criteria, ≤ 50 % for target analytes detected at concentration > 5x the SQL or the reporting in sample and duplicate.				
)	ry dupl	ry duplicate analyzed with . RPD within required crite	ry duplicate analyzed with this data package. MS/ RPD within required criteria, < 50 % for target an	ry duplicate analyzed with this data package. MS/MSD % n

Actions:

Qualify as estimated positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ) for the compound that exceeded the above criteria. For organics, only the sample and duplicate will be qualified.

If an RPD cannot be calculated because one or both of the sample results is not detected, the following actions are suggested based on professional judgment:

If one sample result is not detected and the other is greater than 5x the SQL qualify (J/UJ).

If one sample value is not detected and the other is greater than 5x the SQL and the SQLs for the sample and duplicate are significantly different, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

If one sample value is not detected and the other is less than 5x, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

If both sample and duplicate results are not detected, no action is needed.

All criteria were met _	_X
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	

X. INTERNAL STANDARD PERFORMANCE

The assessment of the internal standard (IS) parameter is used to assist the data reviewer in determining the condition of the analytical instrumentation.

DATE SAMPLE ID IS OUT IS AREA ACCEPTABLE ACTION RANGE

Internal standard area counts within the required criteria for all samples.

Action:

- 1. If an internal standard area count for a sample or blank is greater than 200.0% of the area for the associated standard (opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration) (see Table below):
 - a. Qualify detects for compounds quantitated using that internal standard as estimated low (J-).
 - b. Do not qualify non-detected associated compounds.
- 2. If an internal standard area count for a sample or blank is less than 20.0% of the area for the associated standard (opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration):
 - a. Qualify detects for compounds quantitated using that internal standard as estimated high (J+).
 - b. Qualify non-detected associated compounds as unusable (R).
- If an internal standard area count for a sample or blank is greater than or equal to 20.0%, and less than or equal to 200% of the area for the associated standard opening CCV or midpoint standard from initial calibration, no qualification of the data is necessary.
- 4. If an internal standard RT varies by more than 30.0 seconds: Examine the chromatographic profile for that sample to determine if any false positives or negatives exist. For shifts of a large magnitude, the reviewer may consider partial or total rejection of the data for that sample fraction. Detects should not need to be qualified as unusable (R) if the mass spectral criteria are met.
- 5. If an internal standard RT varies by less than or equal to 30.0 seconds, no qualification of the data is necessary.

Note: Inform the Contract Laboratory Program Project Officer (CLP PO) if the internal standard performance criteria are grossly exceeded. Note in the Data Review Narrative potential effects on the data resulting from unacceptable internal standard performance.

- 6. If required internal standard compounds are not added to a sample or blank, qualify detects and non-detects as unusable (R).
- 7. If the required internal standard compound is not analyzed at the specified concentration in a sample or blank, use professional judgment to qualify detects and non-detects.

Table. Internal Standard Actions for Low/Medium Volatiles Analyses - Summary

	Action	
Criteria	Detected Associated Compounds*	Non-detected Associated Compounds*
Area counts > 200% of 12-hour standard (opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration)	J-	No qualification
Area counts < 20% of 12-hour standard (opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration)	J+	R
Area counts ≥ 50% but ≤ 200% of 12-hour standard (opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration)	No qualification	
RT difference > 30.0 seconds between samples and 12-hour standard (opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration)	R **	R
RT difference ≤ 30.0 seconds between samples and 12-hour standard (opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration)	No qual	ification

^{*} For volatile compounds associated to each internal standard, see TABLE - VOLATILE TARGET ANALYTES, DEUTERATED MONITORING COMPOUNDS WITH ASSOCIATED INTERNAL STANDARDS FOR QUANTITATION in SOM02.2, Exhibit D, available at: http://www.epa.gov/superfund/programs/clp/download/som/som22d.pdf ** Detects should not need to be qualified as unusable (R) if the mass spectral criteria are met.

		Criteria were not mel and/or see below
TARGET COI	MPOUND IDENTIFICATION	
Criteria:		
	T [opening Continuing Calibration Verification Verificati	compounds within ±0.06 RRT units of the ation (CCV) or mid-point standard from the <u>Yes</u> ? or No?
List compoun	ds not meeting the criteria described above	2:
Sample ID	Compounds	Actions
		<u> </u>
spectrum from	n the associated calibration standard (openust match according to the following criterial All ions present in the standard mass 10% must be present in the sample spectre relative intensities of these ions mand sample spectra (e.g., for an ion spectrum, the corresponding sample ion lons present at greater than 10% in the	spectrum at a relative intensity greater than
List compound	ds not meeting the criteria described above	E
Sample ID	Compounds	Actions
		-

Action:

- 1. The application of qualitative criteria for GC/MS analysis of target compounds requires professional judgment. It is up to the reviewer's discretion to obtain additional information from the laboratory. If it is determined that incorrect identifications were made, qualify all such data as unusable (R).
- 2. Use professional judgment to qualify the data if it is determined that cross-contamination has occurred.
- 3. Note in the Data Review Narrative any changes made to the reported compounds or concerns regarding target compound identifications. Note, for Contract Laboratory COR action, the necessity for numerous or significant changes.

TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS (TICS)

NOTE: Tentatively identified compounds should only be evaluated when requested by a party from outside of the Hazardous Waste Support Section (HWSS).

Ł	ict	TI	0
	150	- 1 1	1 .

Sample ID	Compound	Sample ID	Compound

Action:

- 1. Qualify all TIC results for which there is presumptive evidence of a match (e.g. greater than or equal to 85% match) as tentatively identified (NJ), with approximated concentrations. TICs labeled "unknown" are qualified as estimated (J).
- 2. General actions related to the review of TIC results are as follows:
 - a. If it is determined that a tentative identification of a non-target compound is unacceptable, change the tentative identification to "unknown" or another appropriate identification, and qualify the result as estimated (J).
 - b. If all contractually-required peaks were not library searched and quantitated, the Region's designated representative may request these data from the laboratory.
- 3. In deciding whether a library search result for a TIC represents a reasonable identification, use professional judgment. If there is more than one possible match, report the result as "either compound X or compound Y". If there is a lack of isomer specificity, change the TIC result to a nonspecific isomer result (e.g., 1,3,5-trimethyl benzene to trimethyl benzene

- isomer) or to a compound class (e.g., 2-methyl, 3-ethyl benzene to a substituted aromatic compound).
- 4. The reviewer may elect to report all similar compounds as a total (e.g., all alkanes may be summarized and reported as total hydrocarbons).
- 5. Target compounds from other fractions and suspected laboratory contaminants should be marked as "non-reportable".
- 6. Other Case factors may influence TIC judgments. If a sample TIC match is poor, but other samples have a TIC with a valid library match, similar RRT, and the same ions, infer identification information from the other sample TIC results.
- 7. Note in the Data Review Narrative any changes made to the reported data or any concerns regarding TIC identifications.
- 8. Note, for Contract Laboratory COR action, failure to properly evaluate and report TICs

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

SAMPLE QUANTITATION AND REPORTED CONTRACT REQUIRED QUANTITATION LIMITS (CRQLS)

Action:

- 1. If any discrepancies are found, the Region's designated representative may contact the laboratory to obtain additional information that could resolve any differences. If a discrepancy remains unresolved, the reviewer must use professional judgment to decide which value is the most accurate. Under these circumstances, the reviewer may determine that qualification of data is warranted. Note in the Data Review Narrative a description of the reasons for data qualification and the qualification that is applied to the data.
- 2. For non-aqueous samples, in the percent moisture is less than 70.0%, no qualification of the data is necessary. If the percent moisture is greater than or equal to 70.0% and less than 90.0%, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as approximated (UJ). If the percent moisture is greater than or equal to 90.0%, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as unusable (R) (see Table below).
- 3. Note, for Contract Laboratory COR action, numerous or significant failures to accurately quantify the target compounds or to properly evaluate and adjust CRQLs.
- 4. Results between MDL and CRQL should be qualified as estimated "J".
- 5. Results < MDL should be reported at the CRQL and qualified "U". MDLs themselves are not reported.

Table. Percent Moisture Actions for Low/Medium Volatiles Analysis for Non-Aqueous Samples

Criteria	Action		
	Detected Associated Compounds	Non-detected Associated Compounds	
% Moisture < 70.0	No qualification		
70.0 < % Moisture < 90.0	J	UJ	
% Moisture > 90.0	J	R	

The sample quantitation evaluation is to verify laboratory quantitation results. In the space below, please show a minimum of one sample calculation:

Sample ID

FA35432

MTBE

RF = 0.843

[] = (5266)(50)/(0.843)(1222962) = 0.26 ppb Ok

3.	Percent Solids				
	List samples which have ≥ 70 % solids				
	0.00				

All criteria were met _X							
Criteria were not met							
and/or see below							

QUANTITATION LIMITS

A. Dilution performed

SAMPLE ID	DILUTION FACTOR	REASON FOR DILUTION	
		- 5	
1/0 ::34			
	2 41 3-47		
		STEEL STEEL	
		d	
	The state of the s		

			All criteria were metX Criteria were not met and/or see below
OTHE	RISSUES		and see book
A.	System Perform	nance	
List sa	imples qualified b	ased on the degradation of system (performance during simple analysis:
Sampl		Comments	Actions
_No_c	legradation_of_s		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Action	:		
degrae	ded during samp		etermined that system performance has aboratory Program COR any action as a ontly affected the data.
B.	Overall Assessi	nent of Data	
List sa	imples qualified b	ased on other issues:	
Sample ID		Comments	Actions
		_observed_that_require_qualification ission_purposes	n_of_the_dataResults_are_valid_and

Action:

- 1. Use professional judgment to determine if there is any need to qualify data which were not qualified based on the Quality Control (QC) criteria previously discussed.
- 2. Write a brief narrative to give the user an indication of the analytical limitations of the data. Inform the Contract Laboratory COR the action, any inconsistency of the data with the Sample Delivery Group (SDG) Narrative. If sufficient information on the intended use and required quality of the data is available, the reviewer should include their assessment of the usability of the data within the given context. This may be used as part of a formal Data Quality Assessment (DQA).